#### WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

## $\frac{\text{MINUTES OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - SERVICES } - 22 \, \text{MAY}}{2024}$

(To be read in conjunction with the Agenda for the Meeting)

#### Present

Cllr Carole Cockburn (Chair) Cllr Jacquie Keen Cllr David Munro

Cllr Cavaliere
Cllr Zoe Barker-Lomax

#### **Apologies**

Cllr Philip Townsend, Cllr Jane Austin, Cllr Dave Busby, Cllr Gemma Long and Cllr John Robini

### **Also Present Remotely**

Cllrs Hesse, Hyman, Macleod and White

## 59 <u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTION</u> (Agenda item 1)

Apologies were received from Cllrs Austin, Busby, Long, Robini and Philip Townsend; with Cllr Barker- Lomax in attendance as substitute for Cllr Austin.

#### 60 <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u> (Agenda item 2)

There were none.

# 61 <u>ROUTE TO MARKET FOR OFF-STREET CAR PARKING ENFORCEMENT</u> (Agenda item 3)

The Assistant Director – Commercial Services introduced the report and explained the Council's contract for off-street car parking enforcement with its current provider (Marston's Group NSL) would expire on 30 September 2024, after a short term 6 month contract extension had been approved to enable the Council to review the options available. Exploration of range of options had been undertaken by the Business Transformation team at WBC together with the car parking teams at both WBC and GBC to identify opportunities to work collaboratively to provide the service. The report set out the process followed to explore all options, together with a recommendation to the Executive that Guildford Borough Council deliver Waverley Borough Council's off-street parking compliance enforcement officer function.

#### Members' considerations included:

- Expressing concern with the findings of the Solace report that Guildford Borough Council was at serious risk of failing in its statutory duty to deliver Best Value
- Whether an option to combine off-street parking with the on-street parking enforcement provided by Surrey CC had been considered

- Noting that there was an absence of a tendering process, which if undertaken, would have been a risk mitigation; however acknowledging that there was a risk that a low cost tenderer may provide a poor service
- Querying how the contract would be monitored and how the competitiveness of the preferred option could be demonstrated
- Whether benchmarking information could be included in the report, and
- That there was no information on cost effectiveness per parking space.

The Assistant Director – Commercial Services responded accordingly:

- The recommended option would be a collaborative opportunity with Guildford Borough Council
- GBC had previously considered options with SCC, the outcome of which had informed the report and recommendation
- Contract monitoring would be undertaken in accordance with WBCs Contract Procedure Rules
- The proposal would save WBC £32k per annum and fines issued by each Council would be coded accordingly; and
- The report would be updated to include benchmarking information, together with setting out how Best Value would be achieved by the proposal.

The Assistant Director was content to make amendments to the report in preparation for the forthcoming Executive meeting.

**RESOLVED:** That the report be amended to illustrate how Best Value would be achieved by providing benchmarking information, to also include consideration of working with Surrey County Council; together with greater financial detail from Guildford Borough Council and a description of the contract monitoring and reporting method, including the feedback mechanism.

The meeting commenced at 7.00 pm and concluded at 7.45 pm

Chairman